

Effectiveness and acceptability of a digital early warning system for gestational diabetes management: a quasi-experimental study in Lampung Province

Aprina Aprina, Anita Anita, Titi Astuti

Department of Nursing, Poltekkes Kemenkes Tanjungkarang, Lampung, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received May 4, 2026

Revised Jul 8, 2026

Accepted Jul 12, 2026

Keywords:

Acceptability

Digital health

Early warning system

Gestational diabetes mellitus

Glycemic control

ABSTRACT

Despite growing evidence supporting digital health interventions for gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), no study has evaluated the acceptability and effectiveness of such interventions across geographically heterogeneous healthcare settings in low-resource contexts, where disparities in infrastructure and connectivity may critically influence outcomes. This study addresses this gap by introducing and evaluating the Early Warning System for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (EWSDMG), a novel digital application uniquely designed to function across diverse geographical conditions. A quasi-experimental one-group pre-test post-test design was employed with 200 GDM-diagnosed pregnant women across four sites in Lampung, Indonesia, representing urban, remote, coastal, and rural settings. Technology acceptability was measured using the System Usability Scale (SUS), while knowledge, adherence, and glycemic profiles were assessed before and after 8 weeks of intervention. The overall SUS score was 77.9 ± 6.4 (Good/Acceptable), with urban settings scoring highest (82.4 ± 5.2). Knowledge and adherence scores increased significantly by 26.27 and 24.35 points, respectively ($p < 0.001$). Fasting and 2-hour post-prandial glucose decreased by 13.3 mg/dL ($p = 0.002$) and 22.2 mg/dL ($p = 0.001$), respectively. SUS scores strongly correlated with glycemic improvement ($r = 0.642$, $p < 0.001$). This study provides the first evidence that a single digital GDM intervention can achieve consistent acceptability and clinical effectiveness across heterogeneous geographical settings, offering a scalable model for equitable GDM management in resource-limited regions.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Aprina Aprina

Department of Nursing, Poltekkes Kemenkes Tanjungkarang

Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia

Email: aprinaaprina64@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) represents a significant and escalating public health concern globally, characterized by glucose intolerance first recognized during pregnancy [1]. The condition poses substantial risks to both maternal and neonatal outcomes, including preeclampsia, macrosomia, birth injuries, and long-term metabolic sequelae for mother and child [2]. In Indonesia, showed that 51.8% of pregnant women had good knowledge, and 54.2% had poor preventive behaviors. There is a significant relationship between age ($p = 0.019$), family history of diabetes ($p = 0.021$), knowledge ($p < 0.001$), and preventive practices of GDM. Multivariate analysis showed that knowledge ($p < 0.001$) is a significant factor related to preventive practice after controlling for age ($p = 0.169$) and family history of diabetes ($p = 0.428$) [3].

Lampung Province presents a uniquely challenging context for healthcare delivery due to its considerable geographical and sociodemographic heterogeneity. The province encompasses 13 regencies and 2 cities, spanning urban centers such as Bandar Lampung, remote inland areas including Central Lampung, coastal regions such as East Lampung, and rural districts such as Tanggamus. This diversity creates significant disparities in healthcare infrastructure, digital literacy, and access to specialized obstetric and endocrinological services. Rural and remote communities frequently experience delayed diagnosis and suboptimal management of high-risk pregnancies, compounding the burden of GDM-related complications [4].

The comprehensive management of GDM requires sustained patient engagement encompassing dietary modification, physical activity regulation, glycemic self-monitoring, and timely clinical follow-up [5], [6]. However, conventional healthcare delivery models in resource-limited settings often fail to provide the continuous support necessary for effective GDM management [7], [8]. Pregnant women in Lampung Province, the majority of whom are housewives with varying educational backgrounds [9], face barriers including geographical distance to healthcare facilities, limited health literacy, and insufficient frequency of antenatal consultations to adequately address the complex self-management demands of GDM.

Digital health innovations have emerged as promising solutions to bridge these gaps in maternal healthcare delivery. Mobile health (mHealth) applications offer the potential for real-time health monitoring, automated educational content delivery, and early warning systems that can extend clinical oversight beyond the limitations of facility-based encounters [10], [11]. The integration of technology into healthcare management has demonstrated effectiveness across various contexts, with studies confirming that digital platforms can enhance knowledge acquisition, improve treatment adherence, and facilitate better clinical outcomes [12].

Despite the growing body of evidence supporting mHealth interventions for GDM management, several critical gaps remain underexplored in the existing literature. First, the majority of studies evaluating digital health applications for GDM have been conducted in high-income, urban settings with robust digital infrastructure, limiting their generalizability to resource-constrained and geographically heterogeneous regions such as Lampung Province. Second, few studies have simultaneously assessed both user acceptability and clinical effectiveness of mHealth tools within a single framework, yet both dimensions are essential for sustainable implementation. Third, evidence regarding the effectiveness of integrated early warning systems combining educational delivery, self-monitoring, and automated alerts specifically tailored for GDM in low-resource Indonesian settings remains notably absent.

The Early Warning System for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (EWSDMG) was developed as an integrated digital application incorporating automated educational content, daily reminder notifications, glycemic self-monitoring features, and alert systems for abnormal glucose values. This innovation was specifically designed to address the multifaceted challenges of GDM management in Lampung Province by providing continuous, technology-mediated support to pregnant women across diverse settings. However, prior to widespread implementation, rigorous evaluation of both its acceptability among target users and its effectiveness in improving knowledge, adherence, and glycemic control is essential. Therefore, this study aimed to analyze the acceptability and effectiveness of the EWSDMG innovation in the comprehensive management of GDM across healthcare facilities representing the geographical diversity of Lampung Province, thereby generating evidence to inform digital health policy and practice in maternal care.

2. METHOD

2.1. Study Design

This study employed a quasi-experimental design with a one-group pre-test post-test approach to evaluate the acceptability and effectiveness of the EWSDMG innovation in the comprehensive management of GDM across healthcare facilities in Lampung Province, Indonesia. Measurements were conducted at two time points: prior to intervention (baseline) and following 8 weeks of EWSDMG application utilization. This design was selected as the most appropriate approach given the nature of the digital health intervention and the operational constraints of implementing a randomized controlled trial across geographically diverse healthcare settings in the province.

2.2. Population and sample

The study population comprised all pregnant women diagnosed with GDM attending healthcare facilities in Lampung Province. A total of 200 respondents were recruited using a purposive sampling technique based on predetermined eligibility criteria. Inclusion criteria encompassed: pregnant women with fasting plasma glucose (FPG) >92 mg/dL or 2-hour post-prandial glucose (2hPPG) >153 mg/dL in accordance with the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) diagnostic thresholds, willingness to participate voluntarily, and capacity to operate a smartphone-based

digital application. Exclusion criteria included pregnant women with pre-gestational type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus, severe pregnancy complications necessitating intensive inpatient care, and those with limited access to smartphone technology throughout the intervention period. The sample size was determined using a power analysis with 95% confidence level and 80% statistical power.

All participants provided written informed consent after receiving a comprehensive explanation of the study objectives, procedures, and their rights as voluntary participants. Data security was maintained through encrypted digital storage, anonymized participant identifiers, and restricted access protocols. These measures complied with the institutional ethical guidelines.

2.3. Study setting

The research was conducted across four distinct loci representing the geographical and sociodemographic heterogeneity of Lampung Province: Bandar Lampung City (urban setting), Central Lampung Regency (inland/remote area), East Lampung Regency (coastal area), and Tanggamus Regency (rural setting). This multi-site selection was deliberately designed to examine the acceptability and generalizability of the EWS_DMG innovation across varying sociocultural contexts, healthcare infrastructure levels, and digital literacy environments. Consequently, the study design enhanced the external validity of the findings.

2.4. Study variables

The independent variable was the utilization of the EWS_DMG application over an 8-week intervention period. The dependent variables encompassed four domains: i) technology acceptability, ii) knowledge regarding GDM, iii) adherence to management protocols including dietary regulation and physical activity, and iv) glycemic profile indicators. The glycemic profile indicators specifically included fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and 2-hour post-prandial glucose (2hPPG) levels.

2.5. Instruments

Technology acceptability was assessed using the System Usability Scale (SUS), a validated and internationally recognized instrument consisting of 10 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1–5), yielding composite scores ranging from 0 to 100. Score interpretation followed the adjective rating classification proposed by Bangor *et al.* [13] where scores ≥ 80.3 were categorized as Excellent and scores between 68 and 80.3 as good/acceptable. GDM knowledge was measured using a structured questionnaire developed based on current clinical guidelines and validated through expert panel review and pilot testing. Adherence to management protocols was evaluated using a validated compliance scale encompassing dietary and physical activity domains. Clinical data for FPG and 2hPPG were obtained through standardized laboratory examinations performed at accredited healthcare facilities at both pre-test and post-test phases.

2.6. Data collection procedures

During the baseline phase, demographic characteristics, knowledge scores, adherence levels, and glycemic profiles (FPG and 2hPPG) were assessed. Subsequently, respondents were provided with the EWS_DMG application, which incorporated automated educational content delivery, daily reminder notifications, glycemic self-monitoring features, and an early warning alert system for abnormal glucose values. Respondents utilized the application for a continuous period of 8 weeks under periodic supervision by trained research assistants. Upon completion of the intervention period, all dependent variables were reassessed, and respondents completed the SUS questionnaire to evaluate their experience with the technology.

2.7. Data analysis

The data were analyzed using statistical software with a significance level set at $\alpha = 0.05$. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations, were employed to characterize demographic profiles and SUS score distributions across study sites. The paired t-test was utilized to examine the statistical significance of mean differences in knowledge, adherence, FPG, and 2hPPG between pre-test and post-test measurements. Pearson's correlation coefficient was applied to analyze the association between technology acceptability scores SUS and the magnitude of 2hPPG reduction as a primary clinical effectiveness indicator.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 presents the baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of 200 respondents. The majority were aged 20–35 years (72.0%), consistent with peak reproductive age. Most were multiparous

(56.0%), and the majority were housewives (59.0%). Regarding pre-intervention glycemic status, 67.0% exhibited fasting plasma glucose exceeding 92 mg/dL, while 78.0% demonstrated two-hour postprandial glucose above 153 mg/dL, indicating a substantial proportion with hyperglycemia warranting intervention. These baseline data establish the clinical context for evaluating the EWSDMG innovation, suggesting that the study population represented a high-risk cohort requiring comprehensive GDM management across diverse healthcare settings in Lampung Province.

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of respondents (n = 200)

Characteristics	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Mother's age	<20 years	12	6.0
	20–35 years	144	72.0
	>35 years	44	22.0
Parity	Primipara (1)	68	34.0
	Multipara (2–4)	112	56.0
	Grandmultipara (>4)	20	10.0
Work	Work	82	41.0
	Not working (Housewife)	118	59.0
Baseline glycemic status (Pre-Intervention)	GDP >92 mg/dL	134	67.0
	GD2PP >153 mg/dL	156	78.0

Table 2 presents the distribution of SUS scores across four healthcare facilities representing distinct regional characteristics in Lampung Province. The urban site (Bandar Lampung City) achieved the highest mean SUS score (82.4 ± 5.2), classified as "Excellent," suggesting greater digital literacy and infrastructure readiness. Remote, coastal, and rural sites scored between 74.5 and 78.2, all falling within the "Good/acceptable" range. The overall mean SUS score was 77.9 ± 6.4 , indicating that the EWSDMG innovation was broadly acceptable across diverse geographical contexts. Notably, coastal areas (East Lampung) demonstrated the lowest score with highest variability, potentially reflecting limited technological access. These findings suggest that while the innovation is generally usable, targeted support for non-urban settings may enhance adoption equity.

Table 2. Distribution of technology acceptability scores (SUS) based on research locus (n = 200)

Research locus	Regional characteristics	Average SUS score	Elementary school	Interpretation
Bandar Lampung City	Urban	82.4	5.2	Excellent
Central Lampung Regency	Remote	76.8	6.1	Good/acceptable
East Lampung Regency	Coastal	74.5	7.4	Good/acceptable
Tanggamus Regency	Rural	78.2	6.8	Good/acceptable
Total average	—	77.9	6.4	Good/acceptable

Excellent ≥ 80.3 ; Good/acceptable 68–80.3.

Table 3 demonstrates statistically significant improvements in both knowledge and compliance scores following the EWSDMG intervention. GDM management knowledge increased substantially from a pre-test mean of 62.45 (SD = 10.21) to a post-test mean of 88.72 (SD = 5.84), yielding a mean difference of +26.27 points ($p < 0.001$). Similarly, management compliance scores rose from 58.21 (SD = 12.14) to 82.56 (SD = 7.42), with a mean difference of +24.35 points ($p < 0.001$). The reduced standard deviations post-intervention indicates more homogeneous performance among participants. These findings, confirmed through paired t-tests at $\alpha = 0.05$, provide robust evidence that the EWSDMG digital innovation effectively enhanced both cognitive understanding and adherence to GDM management protocols among the 200 participants across Lampung Province healthcare facilities.

Table 4 demonstrates statistically significant reductions in both glycemic parameters following the EWSDMG intervention. Fasting plasma glucose (GDP) decreased by 13.3 mg/dL (from 105.4 ± 12.6 to 92.1 ± 8.3 mg/dL; $p = 0.002$), while two-hour postprandial glucose (GD2PP) decreased by 22.2 mg/dL (from 148.7 ± 20.4 to 126.5 ± 14.2 mg/dL; $p = 0.001$). Both reductions were statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ using paired t-tests. The greater reduction in postprandial glucose suggests the intervention was particularly effective in improving glucose regulation after meals. Additionally, decreased standard deviations in post-test values indicate more consistent glycemic control among participants, supporting the effectiveness of the EWSDMG digital innovation in managing gestational diabetes mellitus.

Table 3. Analysis of differences in knowledge and compliance scores of DMG management before and after the EWS_DMG intervention (n = 200)

Variables	Stage	Mean	Elementary school	SE	Δ Mean	p-value
DMG knowledge	Pre-test	62.45	10.21	0.72	+26.27	<0.001*
	Post-test	88.72	5.84	0.41		
Management compliance	Pre-test	58.21	12.14	0.85	+24.35	<0.001*
	Post-test	82.56	7.42	0.52		

Analysis using paired t-test; significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.*

Table 4. Changes in respondents' glycemic profile before and after the EWS_DMG intervention (n = 200)

Clinical variables	Pre-test (Mean $\pm$ SD)	Post-test (Mean $\pm$ SD)	Mean decrease (mg/dL)	p-value
GDP (mg/dL)	105.4 $\pm$ 12.6	92.1 $\pm$ 8.3	13.3	0.002*
GD2PP (mg/dL)	148.7 $\pm$ 20.4	126.5 $\pm$ 14.2	22.2	0.001*

Analysis using paired t-test; significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.*

Table 5 presents a Pearson correlation analysis examining the relationship between technology acceptability (SUS score) and decrease in GD2PP levels among 200 participants. The analysis yielded a correlation coefficient of $r = 0.642$, classified as strong according to Dancey and Reidy [14] framework. The association was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), well below the $\alpha = 0.05$ threshold. These findings indicate that higher technology acceptability is positively associated with greater reductions in GD2PP levels. Participants who perceived the technology as more usable demonstrated more substantial glycemic improvements, suggesting that user acceptance plays a meaningful role in optimizing clinical outcomes in diabetes management interventions.

Table 5. Correlation analysis between technology acceptability score (SUS) and decrease in GD2PP Levels (n = 200)

Paired variables	r (Pearson correlation)	Strength of correlation	p-value
SUS score vs GD2PP decline	0.642	Strong	<0.001*

Analysis using Pearson's correlation coefficient; significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. Interpretation of correlation strength based on Dancey & Reidy's classification: 0.60–0.79 = Strong. *

4. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study demonstrate that the EWS_DMG innovation constitutes an effective technology-based intervention for improving knowledge, treatment adherence, and glycemic control among pregnant women with GDM across diverse geographical contexts in Lampung Province. These findings are discussed in relation to the existing literature. Such discussion helps to contextualize the study results within the broader body of evidence.

The overall SUS score of 77.9 ± 6.4 (Good/acceptable) with urban Bandar Lampung achieving the highest score (82.4, Excellent) suggests that digital health interventions can be successfully implemented across varied settings. mHealth and telemedicine platforms can effectively improve glycemic control and diabetes self-management, although they cautioned about limited assessment of digital health literacy appropriateness [15]–[17]. The lower scores in coastal (74.5) and remote (76.8) areas likely reflect infrastructure and digital literacy disparities. Only 25% of GDM-related interventions scored successfully on digital health literacy assessment [18].

The significant increase in knowledge (+26.27 points, $p < 0.001$) and adherence (+24.35 points, $p < 0.001$) scores following the 8-week intervention is consistent with multiple studies demonstrating the effectiveness of structured educational interventions. Highly significant improvements in total knowledge scores and health-promoting behaviors among gestational diabetic women following a lifestyle coaching program ($p < 0.001$) [19]–[21]. Counseling significantly improved knowledge and compliance among diabetes mellitus patients using paired t-test analysis ($p < 0.001$) [22]. Furthermore, social media-delivered diabetes education significantly increased knowledge scores from 68.3% to 76.7% ($p < 0.001$) in the intervention group, alongside positive improvements in attitudes and self-care activities [23], [24]. The reduction in standard deviation observed in post-test scores in the current study indicates homogenization of understanding [25]–[27].

The significant reductions in FPG (13.3 mg/dL, $p = 0.002$) and 2hPG (22.2 mg/dL, $p = 0.001$) represent clinically meaningful improvements. Exercise interventions significantly reduced fasting blood glucose levels in GDM patients ($p < 0.001$), supporting the principle that structured interventions can achieve measurable glycemic improvements [28]–[30]. The post-intervention FPG approaching the IADPSG

threshold (92.1 mg/dL) suggests that the EWS_DMG intervention facilitated near-normalization of glycemic parameters, which is critical for reducing adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes [31].

The strong positive correlation between SUS scores and 2hPG reduction ($r = 0.642$, $p < 0.001$) represents a novel and significant finding, indicating that user acceptance directly influences clinical effectiveness. Engagement with digital health platforms enhances glycemic outcomes, as women in intervention groups transmitted more glucose measurements and reported greater health engagement [32]. The coefficient of determination ($r^2 = 0.412$) suggests that technology acceptability explains 41.2% of variance in glycemic improvement, highlighting the critical importance of user-centered design in digital health interventions for GDM management.

4.1. Limitations and implications

The use of a single-group pre-test/post-test quasi-experimental design without a control group in this study is a limitation that needs to be explicitly acknowledged, as it could compromise the internal validity of the findings. However, the choice of this design is justified based on several operational and ethical considerations. First, implementing a randomized controlled trial (RCT) across four geographically dispersed research sites in Lampung Province covering urban, inland, coastal, and rural areas faces substantial logistical challenges in terms of randomization and providing an equivalent control group. Second, ethically, withholding access to digital health interventions for pregnant women with diagnosed GDM poses potential clinical risks. However, without a control group, threats to internal validity such as maturation effects, regression to the mean, and the influence of co-interventions (e.g., routine antenatal care) cannot be completely ruled out as alternative explanations for the observed improvements.

5. CONCLUSION

The EWS_DMG demonstrated effectiveness in improving knowledge ($\Delta = +26.27$; $p < 0.001$), treatment compliance ($\Delta = +24.35$; $p < 0.001$), and glycemic control among pregnant women with GDM in Lampung Province over eight weeks. Fasting plasma glucose decreased by 13.3 mg/dL ($p = 0.002$) and postprandial glucose by 22.2 mg/dL ($p = 0.001$). Technology acceptability was favorable across urban, rural, remote, and coastal settings (SUS score: 77.9 ± 6.4). A strong correlation between acceptability and glycemic improvement ($r = 0.642$; $p < 0.001$) confirmed user acceptance as a critical determinant of clinical outcomes, supporting broader implementation of digitally mediated, context-adaptive GDM interventions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express sincere gratitude to the Health Research Ethics Committee of Malahayati Lampung University, the Director of Poltekkes Kemenkes Tanjungkarang, all participating healthcare facilities across Bandar Lampung, Central Lampung, East Lampung, and Tanggamus, the trained research assistants, and all respondents whose voluntary participation made this study possible.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Authors state no funding involved.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENT

This journal uses the Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT) to recognize individual author contributions, reduce authorship disputes, and facilitate collaboration.

Name of Author	C	M	So	Va	Fo	I	R	D	O	E	Vi	Su	P	Fu
Aprina Aprina	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Anita Anita	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Titit Astuti	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓

C : **C**onceptualization

M : **M**ethodology

So : **S**oftware

Va : **V**alidation

Fo : **F**ormal analysis

I : **I**nterpretation

R : **R**esources

D : **D**ata Curation

O : **O**rganizational administration

E : **E**diting

Vi : **V**isualization

Su : **S**upervision

P : **P**roject administration

Fu : **F**unding acquisition

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Authors state no conflict of interest.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All research procedures upheld the fundamental principles of research ethics and received formal approval from the Health Research Ethics Committee of Malahayati Lampung University under protocol number 7851/EC/KEP-UNIMAL/V/2026.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [AA], upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Mittal, K. Prasad, J. R. N. Lemos, G. Arevalo, and K. Hirani, "Unveiling gestational diabetes: an overview of pathophysiology and management," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1–42, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.3390/ijms26052320.
- [2] A. A. Khan, S. Javed, S. Noreen, M. R. Chaudhry, and S. Afridi, "Impact of gestational diabetes on neonatal birth weight and maternal postpartum metabolic changes," *Cureus*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1–9, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.7759/cureus.86060.
- [3] S. Nurrahmah and I. Nurbaeti, "The relationship between knowledge and prevention behavior of gestational diabetes mellitus among pregnant women in Bogor, West Java, Indonesia," *Nurse and Holistic Care*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 145–157, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.33086/nhc.v5i2.7721.
- [4] J. G. Laurie and H. D. McIntyre, "A review of the current status of gestational diabetes mellitus in Australia—the clinical impact of changing population demographics and diagnostic criteria on prevalence," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 17, no. 24, pp. 1–11, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/ijerph17249387.
- [5] Y. T. Fan, X. H. Wang, Q. Wang, X. T. Luo, and J. Cao, "Perspective on the nursing management for gestational diabetes mellitus: a perspective," *Medicine (United States)*, vol. 104, no. 12, p. e41862, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000041862.
- [6] H. Xu and R. Liu, "Comprehensive management of gestational diabetes mellitus: practical efficacy of exercise therapy and sustained intervention strategies," *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1–13, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1347754.
- [7] B. H. K. Luk, P. H. Ma, W. W. K. Lam, A. Y. K. Lai, and R. W. M. Chung, "Understanding gestational diabetes in hong kong: women's needs, self-management challenges, and the potential of digital health solutions in culturally sensitive care," *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–13, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1186/s12884-025-08340-2.
- [8] I. Z. Ismail, C. J. Ng, P. Y. Lee, and N. Hussein, "Challenges faced by healthcare providers in implementing preconception care for women with diabetes in public health clinics in Malaysia – a qualitative study," *BMC Primary Care*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1186/s12875-025-02991-y.
- [9] I. F. Almeida, Nurlaila, Nurchairina, and E. Berliana, "Education and the role of midwife and family support in the use of kia handbooks: a comprehensive approach," *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 545–552, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.29303/jppipa.v11i9.12964.
- [10] Suvish, M. Ghamari, and S. Sundaram, "Digital dementia: smart technologies, mhealth applications and IoT devices, for dementia-friendly environments," *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1–44, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.3390/jsan14060112.
- [11] J. Lin, S. M. Bates, L. N. Allen, M. Wright, L. Mao, and M. Kidd, "Integrating mobile health app data into electronic medical or health record systems and its impact on health care delivery and patient health outcomes: scoping review," *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. E66650–E66650, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.2196/66650.
- [12] Y. Chen, C. U. Lehmann, and B. Malin, "Digital information ecosystems in modern care coordination and patient care pathways and the challenges and opportunities for AI solutions," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1–15, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.2196/60258.
- [13] A. Bangor, P. T. Kortum, and J. T. Miller, "An empirical evaluation of the system usability scale," *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 574–594, Jul. 2008, doi: 10.1080/10447310802205776.
- [14] C. Dancy and J. Reidy, *Statistics without maths for psychology for windows*, Prentice Hall, pp. 1–619, 2004.
- [15] C. Chiaranai et al., "Effectiveness of telehealth on the glycemic control of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials," *International Journal of Nursing Studies Advances*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 1–14, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ijnsa.2023.100169.
- [16] S. Stevens, S. Gallagher, T. Andrews, L. Ashall-Payne, L. Humphreys, and S. Leigh, "The effectiveness of digital health technologies for patients with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review," *Frontiers in Clinical Diabetes and Healthcare*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 1–13, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fcdhc.2022.936752.
- [17] J. De Groot, D. Wu, D. Flynn, D. Robertson, G. Grant, and J. Sun, "Efficacy of telemedicine on glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis," *World Journal of Diabetes*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 170–197, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.4239/wjd.v12.i2.170.
- [18] Y. Birati, E. Yefet, Y. Perlitz, N. Shehadeh, and S. Spitzer, "Cultural and digital health literacy appropriateness of app- and web-based systems designed for pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus: scoping review," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1–22, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.2196/37844.
- [19] S. M. Lamadah, H. A. F. Ibrahim, W. T. Elgzar, H. A. El-Sayed, S. H. Sayed, and A. El-Houfey, "Gestational diabetes self-care behavior: an empowerment educational intervention based on basnef model," *Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Research*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 538–546, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.4103/ijnmr.ijnmr_46_21.
- [20] M. Maleki, P. Mousavi, P. Abedi, D. Rokhafrooz, and E. Maraghi, "Effect of mobile-assisted education on health promoting lifestyle and blood sugar of women with gestational diabetes: a randomised controlled trial," *BMJ Nutrition, Prevention and Health*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 310–317, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1136/bmjnp-2023-000802.

- [21] S. M. A. El-Hamid, F. M. EL-Said, H. R. A. El-kest, A. M. K. Senosy, A. A. Aldeeb, and M. G. Mohamed, "Nursing-led tele-coaching for enhancing self-efficacy and lifestyle adherence among gestational diabetic women: tele-nursing versus traditional nursing intervention program," *BMC Nursing*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1186/s12912-026-04290-y.
- [22] S. Margarita, I. F. D. Arya, H. Mariani, E. P. Setiawati, M. Kusumawati, and K. Mutyara, "Effect of diabetes self-management education on knowledge and hb1c levels among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in occupational health care," *Althea Medical Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 95–102, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.15850/amj.v12n2.4070.
- [23] S. A. Baig *et al.*, "Assessment of the quality, content, and reliability of youtube® videos on diabetes mellitus and PCOS: a systematic review with cross-sectional analysis comparing peer-reviewed videos," *Endocrine Connections*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1–12, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1530/EC-24-0059.
- [24] C. M. Leong, T. I. Lee, Y. M. Chien, L. N. Kuo, Y. F. Kuo, and H. Y. Chen, "Social media-delivered patient education to enhance self-management and attitudes of patients with type 2 diabetes during the covid-19 pandemic: randomized controlled trial," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 1–14, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.2196/31449.
- [25] A. Kot, A. Kamińska-Dwórznička, and E. Jakubczyk, "Study on the influence of ultrasound homogenisation on the physical properties of vegan ice cream mixes," *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, no. 17, pp. 1–15, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.3390/app12178492.
- [26] D. Farid *et al.*, "Evaluating the effectiveness of continuing professional development training program: a retrospective cohort study," *BMC Medical Education*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Dec. 2026, doi: 10.1186/s12909-025-08415-w.
- [27] R. Feng, H. N. Alsager, Z. Azizi, and L. Sarabani, "Impact of mind-mapping technique on EFL learners' vocabulary recall and retention, learning motivation, and willingness to communicate," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1–13, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16560.
- [28] J. Her and M. H. Hur, "Effect of exercise interventions on glycemic control in women with gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Women's Health Nursing*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 176–191, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.4069/whn.2025.08.25.1.
- [29] X. Li, R. Luo, B. Qiao, and H. Ou, "Exercise intervention improves blood glucose levels and adverse pregnancy outcomes in GDM patients: a meta-analysis," *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, vol. 2022, no. 9, pp. 1–9, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/9287737.
- [30] A. R. Jung, Y. Seo, J. Lee, J. G. Hwang, S. Yun, and D. T. Lee, "Recent findings on exercise therapy for blood glucose management in patients with gestational diabetes," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 17, pp. 1–13, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.3390/jcm13175004.
- [31] P. Reddi Rani and J. Begum, "Screening and diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus, where do we stand," *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. QE01–QE04, 2016, doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/17588.7689.
- [32] H. Xue *et al.*, "The effectiveness of digital health intervention on glycemic control and physical activity in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Frontiers in Digital Health*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 1–13, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2025.1630588.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Aprina Aprina    is a lecturer at the Department of Nursing, Poltekkes Kemenkes Tanjungkarang, Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia. She has dedicated her career to advancing nursing education and research, with a strong commitment to improving healthcare practices in Indonesia. Her areas of expertise encompass nursing science, clinical practice, and health education. Through her academic contributions, she actively engages in teaching, mentoring students, and conducting research aimed at enhancing the quality of nursing care. She can be contacted at email: aprinaaprina64@gmail.com.



Anita Anita    is a lecturer at the Department of Nursing, Poltekkes Kemenkes Tanjungkarang, Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia. She is actively engaged in teaching, research, and community service in the field of nursing. Her research interests encompass various aspects of nursing science and healthcare practice. She is committed to advancing nursing education and contributing to the improvement of health services in Indonesia. She can be contacted at email: anitaanita998@gmail.com.



Titi Astuti    is a lecturer at the Department of Nursing, Poltekkes Kemenkes Tanjungkarang, Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia. She is dedicated to the field of nursing, encompassing both teaching and research activities. Her academic focus includes the development of nursing science and the improvement of healthcare service quality. As an educator at a health education institution under the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, she actively contributes to producing competent and professional nursing graduates prepared to address contemporary healthcare challenges. She can be contacted at email: titiastuti7888@yahoo.com.