

Examining psycho-social wellbeing among factory workers

Shankar Kumar¹, Nellore Pratika Reddy²

¹Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Management, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Vadapalani Campus, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Psychology, Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Vadapalani Campus, Tamil Nadu, India

Article Info

Article history:

Received Nov 25, 2023

Revised May 13, 2024

Accepted May 19, 2024

Keywords:

Factory workers
Job performance
Job satisfaction
Mental health
Mental wellbeing
Social competence

ABSTRACT

In India, factory employees make up a sizeable portion of the labour force and are essential to the country's industrial sector. Working in a factory may be mentally and physically demanding, which can lead to stress, burnout, and other mental health issues. The researcher in the study focused on the impact of mental wellbeing on job performance and examining the mediating effect of job satisfaction and moderating effect of social competence among factory workers. The study's target population is factory workers working in the locality of Tamil Nadu with a sample size of 114 workers. A descriptive study design with a quantitative approach and non-probability convenience sampling is applied. The hypotheses formulated were tested by using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) through SmartPLS. It is evident from the study there is a significant impact on mental wellbeing on job performance; mental wellbeing on job satisfaction and job satisfaction on job performance. Prioritising mental health in the workplace shows a dedication to the all-encompassing care of employees and promotes a positive organisational culture that values employee well-being. These factors will positively affect employee health and happiness, productivity, absenteeism rates, turnover rates, workplace safety, and ethical responsibility. Future study can concentrate on mindfulness training, stress management initiatives, counselling services on performance results.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Shankar Kumar

Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Management, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Vadapalani Campus, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: shankarkumar698@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

In India, factory employees make up a sizeable portion of the labour force and are essential to the country's industrial sector. India has a broad manufacturing sector that includes businesses in the textile, apparel, car, electronics, pharmaceutical, and other industries. The Factory Act of 1948 defines factory workers as "any individual employed either directly or by indirectly or through any of the agencies (including a contractor), with or without the principal employer's insight, who works in any location where ten or more workers are working, or were working, on any given day during the previous twelve months, and in any area where a manufacturing is carried out, usually under the assistance of power" [1]. The bulk of manufacturing employees today are either local or foreign migrants. Their tenure at the industry is marked by poor work conditions, lengthy hours, little space for work and housing, and, in some circumstances, harassment. They handle all of these challenges while being in an unfamiliar setting. These situations may deteriorate as industries

fight for human labour to compete with technology. While tales of factory employees committing suicide have virtually subsided, the mental health problem has not.

Most people's life revolve on their work. It is a cause of ongoing stress and pressure in addition to being a way to support oneself and make a livelihood. As a result, the workplace has a significant impact on emotional and psychological health. Previous literature state that an organization's performance as well as success are significantly influenced by the health and well-being of its employees [2], [3]. Employee well-being is associated to a number of favourable outcomes at both the individual and organisational levels. These results include improved organisational performance and productivity [4], greater levels of customer satisfaction [5], increased staff engagement [6], and the promotion of organisational citizenship behaviour [7]. World health organisation states that mental health is a state of mental well-being that enables people to recognise and capitalise on their strengths, manage stress, learn and work effectively, and give back to society. Encouraging our ability to make decisions, build relationships, and shape our environment on a personal and collective level is essential to our health and well-being. Psychological well-being is a fundamental human right. Furthermore, economical, societal, and individual development depends on it [8].

The degree to which a person has been able to meet his or her bodily, emotional, or mental needs can be measured by a person's level of mental health. A person may occasionally find himself in a position where he lacks the necessary coping mechanisms to deal with it successfully, which can lead to mental stress [9]. Due to the peculiarities of their work processes, including a lack of career routes, exposure to dangerous environments, job instability, a lack of autonomy, and extremely tedious and repetitive duties, industrial employees are among the high-risk demographics for depression and other mental health issues [10]–[13]. The risk of suicide and suicidal thoughts was likewise highest among professionals who worked in the industrial sector. Studies conducted in the United States, England, and Australia revealed that manual labourers (such as those employed in the mining or construction industries) were more likely than other professionals to commit suicide or have suicidal thoughts [14]–[17].

An important factor affecting how well a job is done is how satisfied the employee is with their work. Highly effective employees will be able to assist the organisation in achieving its strategic goals and maintaining its benefit over the competition [18]. The most common and established operationalization of workplace efficiency in the organisational sciences is job satisfaction [19]. It goes without saying that satisfaction in one's job does not inevitably transfer into happiness in one's overall life [20], [21]. According to this, higher levels of employee stress will result in lower levels of work satisfaction, whereas lower levels of employee stress will result in higher levels of satisfaction claims that staff turnover is adversely affected by quality of work life [22], [23].

Employee job performance, or whether a worker executes his or her job successfully or not, is a crucial concern for every organisation. Job performance comprises of the actions that workers do in the course of their work that are pertinent to the objectives of the organisation [24]. Performance was defined as a representation of three qualities. They include an employee's capacity for performance, their motivation to work, and the support of the organisation [25]. Employee performance, is the result of their dedication to and participation with their organisation and its goals. An employee with a good attitude is able to adapt to the organisational environment, which leads to an overall improvement in the efficiency and performance of the entire firm [26], [27]. "Social competence" is the capacity of a person to maximise their social behavior based on the social knowledge at hand [28].

The current study addressed the factory workers who are a crucial part of the global economy. Working in a factory may be mentally and physically demanding, which can lead to stress, burnout, and other mental health issues. Looking into the relationship between the mental health of industrial workers and their performance at work can show how conditions like stress, anxiety, and depression may affect productivity, efficiency, and the calibre of work. Poor mental health can lead to increased churn rates and low job satisfaction for industrial workers. Employers can use this data as a guide for implementing mental health support activities that will increase worker productivity and performance on the job. Factory workers tend to undergo a lot of difficulties in terms of the work environment and the hard labor that goes with it. Factory workers help in the greater good of the economy, but they are less looked into this thereby adds reason to explore on the psycho-social well-being of the individual. Thus, the current study provides us with in-depth knowledge about the mental health of industrial workers and its effect on their overall performance. Therefore, the aim of the current study are: i) To evaluate mental health has a significant impact on job performance in factory workers; ii) To evaluate the mediating effect of job satisfaction between mental well-being and job performance in factory workers; iii) To measure the role of social competence as a moderator of mental wellbeing on job performance in factory workers.

2. METHOD

To investigate the relationship between mental health, social competence, job satisfaction, and job performance among industrial workers, a descriptive study design with a quantitative approach is used. The researcher gathered primary data to investigate the factors. To evaluate mental wellbeing, social competence,

job satisfaction, and job performance, respectively, standardized tools including the warwick-edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS), perceived social competence scale, minnesota satisfaction questionnaire, and work performance scale were utilized.

The study's entire population consists of 114 employees who work in factory. In this research non-probability convenience sampling was adopted. The researcher employed convenience sampling techniques to ensure that all factory workers had an equal opportunity to serve as the sample size. The data for the sample was obtained through structured questionnaire using Likert scale. The research will involve people who are employed in factories in Tamil Nadu, are between the ages of 21 and 60, and have worked in the sector for at least two years. The exclusion criteria include employees who have physical and mental disabilities, who are not full time employees and who have secondary job.

The conceptual framework as shown in Figure 1 is designed based on the above literature and previous studies. mental well-being (warwick-edinburgh mental well-being scale) as an independent variable [29], job satisfaction (minnesota satisfaction questionnaire) as a mediator [30], social competence (perceived social competence scale) as a moderator [31], and employee performance (job performance scale) is used as dependent variable [32].




Figure 1. Conceptual framework

The study hypotheses have been identified as the following:

- H1: There is a significant impact of mental wellbeing on job performance among factory workers.
- H2: There is a significant impact of mental wellbeing on job satisfaction among factory workers.
- H3: There is a significant impact of employee job satisfaction on job performance.
- H4: Employee job satisfaction significantly mediates the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance.
- H5: Social competence strengthens the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance.

This study employed partial least squares (PLS) structural equation modeling (SEM) using a variance-based or component-based technique to test the hypothesis and create a fit model [33], [34].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first stage of the study must be completed before a series of additional tests, in order to check the data's validity and reliability. The reliability and validity tests of this study are shown in Table 1. Reliability refers to the extent of internal consistency among the constructs examined in a study. A construct is considered reliable when the Cronbach's alpha (α) value exceeds 0.70, and this statistic is commonly employed to evaluate construct reliability [35]–[37]. The results revealed a mental well-being with 6 items ($\alpha=0.767$), job satisfaction with 5 items ($\alpha=0.829$), social competence with 5 items ($\alpha=0.862$), and job performance with 5 items ($\alpha=0.815$). With a cut-off value larger than 0.70, the composite reliability for the constructions is deemed acceptable for every variable. Such values are greater than 0.70, indicating that all reflective latent variables have high degrees of internal consistency reliability; mental well-being ($cr=0.841$), job satisfaction ($cr=0.876$), social competence ($cr=0.894$), and job performance ($CR=0.861$).

Validity test was used to measure the factor loading value which should be equal to 0.7 or above 0.7, the indicator is said to be valid [35]–[37]. Table 1 and Figure 2 shows that the outer loading of each construct

variable is greater than 0.7. This result indicates that all 25 questions are valid. Moreover, the variables in this study showed an average variance extracted (AVE) value greater than 0.5, this indicating that all of these variables are valid; mental well-being (AVE=0.479), job satisfaction (AVE=0.587), social competence (AVE=0.63), and Job performance (AVE=0.559). The hypothesis presented for this study was evaluated using the bootstrapping approach [36]. The results are shown in Tables 3-5, and Figure 3 shows the path coefficients and level of significance for each component.

Table 1. Measurement model characteristics

Variables	Indicator	Loading factor	Cronbach's alpha	AVE>0.5	CR>0.7	Conclusion
Job performance	JP1	0.841	0.815	0.559	0.861	Reliable
	JP2	0.878				
	JP3	0.64				
	JP4	0.556				
	JP5	0.773				
Job satisfaction	JS1	0.818	0.829	0.587	0.876	Reliable
	JS2	0.732				
	JS3	0.814				
	JS4	0.745				
	JS5	0.718				
Mental wellbeing	MW1	0.856	0.767	0.479	0.841	Reliable
	MW2	0.817				
	MW3	0.746				
	MW4	0.498				
	MW5	0.492				
	MW6	0.652				
Social competence	SC1	0.762	0.862	0.63	0.894	Reliable
	SC2	0.849				
	SC3	0.804				
	SC4	0.836				
	SC5	0.708				




Figure 2. Model loading

Table 2. Fornell-larcker criterion

Variables	JP	JS	MW	SC
Job performance (JP)	.748**			
Job satisfaction (JS)	.390**	.766**		
Mental well-being (MW)	.459**	.756**	.692**	
Social competence (SC)	.554**	.718**	.738**	.793**

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Table 3. Path coefficient of research hypothesis variable

Hypothesis	Path	Path coefficient t (β)	p-value	Result
H1	Mental wellbeing → job performance	0.128	0.046	Accepted *
H2	Mental wellbeing → job satisfaction	0.756	0.000	Accepted **
H3	Job satisfaction → job performance	0.121	0.042	Accepted *

Significant at p**<0.01, p* <0.05

Table 4. Mediation analysis using PLS

Hypothesis	Path	Path coefficient t (β)	p-value	Result
H4	Mental wellbeing → job satisfaction → job performance	0.091	0.043	Accepted *

Significant at p**<0.01, p* <0.05

Table 5. Moderating effect analysis using PLS

Hypothesis	Path	Path coefficient t (β)	p-value	Result
H5	Mental wellbeing → moderating effect (social competence) → job performance	0.193	0.003	Accepted **

Significant at p**<0.01, p* <0.05




Figure 3. Path coefficient of the study variables

Table 3 and Figure 3 show the results of the hypothesis in this study, which are explained using Beta and P-values as:

- H1: The impact of mental wellbeing on job performance
The relationship between mental wellbeing to job performance value was measured by (β=0.128, p<0.05). it can be concluded that mental wellbeing had a significant impact on job performance with the results of hypothesis testing accepting H1.
- H2: The impact of mental wellbeing on job satisfaction
The relationship between mental wellbeing to job performance value was measured by (β=0.756, p<0.01). it can be concluded that mental wellbeing had a significant impact on job performance with the results of hypothesis testing accepting H2.
- H3: The impact of job satisfaction on job performance
The relationship between job satisfaction on job performance value was measured by (β=0.121, p<0.05). It can be concluded that job satisfaction had a significant impact on job performance with the results of hypothesis testing accepting H3.

- H4: Job satisfaction significantly mediates the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance
Mediation analysis determined the mediating role of job satisfaction on the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance. The result shown in Table 4 revealed that the total effect of mental wellbeing on job performance was significant (β=0.091, p<0.05). The indirect effect of mental wellbeing on job performance through job satisfaction was found significant (H4: β=0.091, p<0.05). This indicates that the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance is mediated by job satisfaction. Hence, its indicating that the indirect impact is significant.

- H5: Social competence strengthens the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance

According to Table 5, social competence is a moderator in the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance, hence hypothesis 5 is accepted. The result revealed that social competence moderates the relationship between mental wellbeing and job performance (H5: $\beta=0.193$, $p<0.05$). Hence, it is indicating that the indirect impact is significant.

The study demonstrates how important social competence, job satisfaction, and mental health are to how effectively individuals perform on the job. This hypothesis holds that a decline in mental health would have an overall effect on an organization's ability to expand since it would reduce the output produced by factory workers. Additionally, the study conveys that social competence, job satisfaction, and mental health have a substantial impact on the total performance expected of industrial workers. The results of this current study are in line with several studies which state that mental well-being has an overall impact on the employee job performance and job satisfaction [38]–[40].

The necessity to develop and dedicate resources for good mental health awareness among industrial workers is implied by this, and assistance should be provided to those workers who require it. Previous existing literature further enumerated these findings by stating that participants highlighted the importance of social relationships and peer support and the need for a sense of social and psychological needs [41]. Studies also mentioned the significant impact of social skills in enhancing the job performance and satisfaction and its overall impact on the well-being of the employees [42], [43]. Thus right measures should be taken to guarantee employee satisfaction, and seminars should be held to improve employees' social skills because this has a significant influence on how well they perform at work. The aforementioned actions will assure better results and outputs from the employees while also bringing about an overall growth in the firm. As a result, employers are better able to identify the obstacles that employees must overcome before providing them with skill-based training to do so.

In India, there is relatively little research that demonstrates how common mental illnesses are among those who work in factories. A significant percentage of the workforce, according to this study, have low mental well-being among the workers affecting the overall performance of the individuals. The topic of whether the occupational health services that presently exist should focus on the identification, treatment, and prevention of mental well being is brought up by the frequency of mild psychiatric morbidity among the workforce. In a meta-analysis it was found that workplace mental health interventions such as counseling and stress management programs enhanced the mental health and performance of the workers [44]. Organizational commitment to corporate mental health varies widely, spanning from no involvement to a comprehensive approach that includes offering recreational amenities, comprehensive healthcare coverage encompassing mental health, and employee assistance programs aimed at identifying and aiding employees facing difficulties, often including counseling services.

4. CONCLUSION

In summary, the study's conclusions addressed the study's main goal, which was to look into the relationship between mental health, job satisfaction, social competence, and employee job performance among the factory workers in Tamil Nadu. This investigation highlights the fact that, while job performance is important in any sector being studied, there is also a need to address obstacles and underlying issues that go unresolved and impede the organization's overall progress. It has been demonstrated that investing in employees' total physical and mental well-being by giving enough resources not only improves functioning but also fosters loyalty and trust in the organisation. In addition to the tools at their disposal, businesses have a moral obligation to protect the welfare and well-being of their workforce. Prioritising mental health in the workplace shows a dedication to the all-encompassing care of employees and promotes a positive organisational culture that values employee well-being. The current study incorporates a sample size based on the existing literature but however considering the diversity of this sector a bigger sample size can be incorporated in future studies with the help of different softwares that will aid in determining the sample size. These factors will positively affect employee health and happiness, productivity, absenteeism rates, turnover rates, workplace safety, and ethical responsibility. The current article suggests fresh avenues for further research. The proposed viewpoint may be tested and supported by empirical investigations.

REFERENCES




- [1] International Labour Organization, "The Factories Act, 1948 ACT no. 63 of 1948," International Labour Organization, Accessed: Nov. 23, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ilo.org/resource/factories-act-1948-act-no-63-1948>.
- [2] A. B. Bakker, J. Hetland, O. K. Olsen, and R. Espevik, "Daily strengths use and employee well-being: The moderating role of personality," *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 144–168, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1111/joop.12243.
- [3] D. B. Turban and W. Yan, "Relationship of eudaimonia and hedonia with work outcomes," *Journal of Managerial Psychology*,

- vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 1006–1020, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1108/JMP-07-2015-0271.
- [4] R. Hewett, A. Liefvooghe, G. Visockaite, and S. Roongremsuke, “Bullying at work: Cognitive appraisal of negative acts, coping, wellbeing, and performance,” *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 71–84, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1037/ocp0000064.
 - [5] P. Sharma, T. T. C. Kong, and R. P. J. Kingshott, “Internal service quality as a driver of employee satisfaction, commitment and performance,” *Journal of Service Management*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 773–797, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1108/JOSM-10-2015-0294.
 - [6] L. Tisu, D. Lupşa, D. Virgă, and A. Rusu, “Personality characteristics, job performance and mental health: the mediating role of work engagement,” *Personality and Individual Differences*, vol. 153, p. 109644, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2019.109644.
 - [7] M. Mousa, H. K. Massoud, and R. M. Ayoubi, “Gender, diversity management perceptions, workplace happiness and organisational citizenship behaviour,” *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1249–1269, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1108/ER-10-2019-0385.
 - [8] S. Galderisi, A. Heinz, M. Kastrup, J. Beezhold, and N. Sartorius, “Toward a new definition of mental health,” *World Psychiatry*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 231–233, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1002/wps.20231.
 - [9] F. Kigozi, J. Ssebunnya, D. Kizza, S. Cooper, and S. Ndyabangi, “An overview of Uganda’s mental health care system: results from an assessment using the world health organization’s assessment instrument for mental health systems (WHO-AIMS),” *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, vol. 4, no. 1, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1186/1752-4458-4-1.
 - [10] T. A. Birhan, M. Ambissa, T. G. Delele, and H. Dagne, “Work-related stress and associated factors among garment workers in Bole Lemi Industrial Park of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a multi-center institution-based cross-sectional study,” *BMC Psychiatry*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 806, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04460-7.
 - [11] B. A. Edimansyah, B. N. Rusli, L. Naing, B. A. M. Rusli, T. Winn, and B. R. H. Tengku Mohamed Ariff, “Self-perceived depression, anxiety, stress and their relationships with psychosocial job factors in male automotive assembly workers,” *Industrial Health*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 90–100, 2008, doi: 10.2486/indhealth.46.90.
 - [12] Y. Q. Ji, S. Li, C. Wang, J. Wang, and X. M. Liu, “Occupational stress in assembly line workers in electronics manufacturing service and related influencing factors,” *Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi = Zhonghua Laodong Weisheng Zhiyebing Zazhi = Chinese Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Diseases*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 737–741, 2016, doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1001-9391.2016.10.004.
 - [13] I. Dianat and A. Salimi, “Working conditions of Iranian hand-sewn shoe workers and associations with musculoskeletal symptoms,” *Ergonomics*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 602–611, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1080/00140139.2014.891053.
 - [14] A. A. Arif, O. Adeyemi, S. B. Laditka, and J. N. Laditka, “Suicide rates and risks across u.s. industries: a 29-year population-based survey,” *Archives of Suicide Research*, pp. 1–26, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1080/13811118.2023.2300324.
 - [15] B. Windsor-Shellard and D. Gunnell, “Occupation-specific suicide risk in England: 2011–2015,” *British Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 215, no. 4, pp. 594–599, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1192/bjp.2019.69.
 - [16] T. S. Heller, J. L. Hawgood, and D. De Leo, “Correlates of suicide in building industry workers,” *Archives of Suicide Research*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 105–117, Jan. 2007, doi: 10.1080/13811110600992977.
 - [17] S. E. Roberts, B. Jaremin, and K. Lloyd, “High-risk occupations for suicide,” *Psychological Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1231–1240, Jun. 2013, doi: 10.1017/S0033291712002024.
 - [18] A. E. Dessler, “A determination of the cloud feedback from climate variations over the past decade,” *Science*, vol. 330, no. 6010, pp. 1523–1527, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1126/science.1192546.
 - [19] A. Bashir, A. Amir, M. Jawaad, and T. Hasan, “Work conditions and job performance: An indirect conditional effect of motivation,” *Cogent Business & Management*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 1801961, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2020.1801961.
 - [20] M. I. H. Molla, “Ensuring job satisfaction for managing people at work,” *Global Disclosure of Economics and Business*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 155–166, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.18034/gdeb.v4i2.144.
 - [21] T. Aryanto, M. Asmawi, and M. Ramly, “The effect of emotional intelligence, quality of work life, and stress on job satisfaction and turnover intention among the employees,” *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, vol. 6, no. 05, May 2018, doi: 10.18535/ijrm/v6i5.em08.
 - [22] T. Rajgopal, “Mental well-being at the workplace,” *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 63–65, 2010, doi: 10.4103/0019-5278.75691.
 - [23] A. Katebi, M. H. HajiZadeh, A. Bordbar, and A. M. Salehi, “The relationship between ‘job satisfaction’ and ‘job performance’: a meta-analysis,” *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 21–42, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s40171-021-00280-y.
 - [24] W. N. Burton, A. B. Schultz, C. Chen, and D. W. Edington, “The association of worker productivity and mental health: a review of the literature,” *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 78–94, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1108/17538350810893883.
 - [25] K. Na-Nan, S. Kanthong, J. Joungrakul, and I. D. Smith, “Mediating effects of job satisfaction and organizational commitment between problems with performance appraisal and organizational citizenship behavior,” *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 64, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.3390/joitmc6030064.
 - [26] W. Cabrera and D. Estacio, “Job attitude as a factor on employees performance,” *International Journal of Economics Development Research (IJEDR)*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 13–35, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.37385/ijedr.v3i1.254.
 - [27] P. Ahmadi, A. Bakhshizadeh, and H. Balouchi, “Studying the impact of mental health on job performance of managers and staff,” *Management Science Letters*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 1579–1588, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2012.05.009.
 - [28] B. Taborsky and R. F. Oliveira, “Social competence: an evolutionary approach,” *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 679–688, Dec. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2012.09.003.
 - [29] S. S.-Brown, A. Tennant, R. Tennant, S. Platt, J. Parkinson, and S. Weich, “Internal construct validity of the warwick-edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS): a rasch analysis using data from the scottish health education population survey,” *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Dec. 2009, doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-7-15.
 - [30] D. J. Weiss, R. Dawis, G. England, and L. Lofquist, “Manual for the minnesota satisfaction questionnaire,” *Manual for the Minnesota Satisfaction Survey*, p. 125, 1967.
 - [31] D. A.-Butcher, A. L. Iachini, and A. J. Amorose, “Initial reliability and validity of the perceived social competence scale,” *Research on Social Work Practice*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 47–54, Jan. 2008, doi: 10.1177/1049731507304364.
 - [32] J. Singh, W. Verbeke, and G. K. Rhoads, “Do organizational practices matter in role stress processes? a study of direct and moderating effects for marketing-oriented boundary spanners,” *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 69–86, Jul. 1996, doi: 10.1177/002224299606000305.
 - [33] A. A. Safeer, Y. He, and M. Abrar, “The influence of brand experience on brand authenticity and brand love: an empirical study from Asian consumers’ perspective,” *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 1123–1138, Apr. 2021,




- doi: 10.1108/APJML-02-2020-0123.
- [34] C. M. Ringle, D. Da Silva, and D. D. S. Bido, "Modelagem de equações estruturais com utilização do smartpls," *Revista Brasileira de Marketing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 56–73, May 2014, doi: 10.5585/remark.v13i2.2717.
- [35] W. W. Chin, W. W. Chin, and W. W. Chin, "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modelling. In Marcoulides G. A. (Ed.)," *Modern Methods for Business Research*, vol. 295, no. 2, pp. 295–336, 1998.
- [36] M. S. J. F. Hair, J. G.T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*, 2nd Editio., vol. 53, no. 9. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications Inc, 2017.
- [37] M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and J. F. Hair, "Partial least squares structural equation modeling," in (eds) *Handbook of Market Research*, Cham: Springer, 2015.
- [38] A. Bansal, "Impact of psychological wellbeing on job performance of employees," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2022, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4321607.
- [39] M. Darvishmotevali and F. Ali, "Job insecurity, subjective well-being and job performance: the moderating role of psychological capital," *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, vol. 87, p. 102462, May 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102462.
- [40] X. Cao, H. Zhang, P. Li, and X. Huang, "The influence of mental health on job satisfaction: mediating effect of psychological capital and social capital," *Frontiers in Public Health*, vol. 10, p. 797274, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.797274.
- [41] W. I. W. Ahmad and S. M. Abdurahman, "Job satisfaction among academic staff of universiti utara malaysia: a work environment perspective," *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 251–256, May 2015, doi: 10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n3s2p251.
- [42] E. A. M. Aznan, A. F. Hj M. Kassim, N. Othman, M. K. Yusof, and N. H. Amir, "The relationship between job satisfaction and social Skill," *Jurnal Intelek*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 251–256, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.24191/ji.v14i2.258.
- [43] M. K. Jones, R. J. Jones, P. L. Latreille, and P. J. Sloane, "Training, job satisfaction, and workplace performance in britain: evidence from WERS 2004," *LABOUR*, vol. 23, no. s1, pp. 139–175, Mar. 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9914.2008.00434.x.
- [44] A. Martin, K. Sanderson, and F. Cocker, "Meta-analysis of the effects of health promotion intervention in the workplace on depression and anxiety symptoms," *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 7–18, Jan. 2009, doi: 10.5271/sjweh.1295.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Shankar Kumar    Assistant Professor from the Faculty of Management, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Vadapalani Campus, Chennai, India. His research area includes organizational behavior and Management Science. His passion and interest towards Research have made him to publish more than 10 articles in International and National Journals. He has received several Awards & Recognitions for his outstanding contribution in the field of research & consultancy. He carries several professional memberships and always been keen on participating in various conferences, seminars, workshops and webinars, thereby keeping updated to the latest technological developments in his domain. He can be contacted at email: shankarkumar698@gmail.com.



Nellore Pratika Reddy    is a Counseling Psychologist and Assistant Professor in the Department of Psychology at SRM IST Faculty of Science and Humanities, India. With a profound commitment to academia and research, she is currently pursuing her PhD at Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, India, further enriching her expertise in the field. Her research interests include trauma, health psychology, and organizational psychology. Beyond her academic pursuits, she is deeply committed to fostering interdisciplinary collaborations and nurturing the next generation of psychologists. She can be contacted at email: pratikanellore@gmail.com.