Psychometric properties of a questionnaire on medical students' satisfaction with a community health program

Salman Alzayani¹, Amer Almarabheh¹, Khaldoon Al-Roomi¹, Adel Alsayyad^{1,2}

¹Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University, Manama, Kingdom of Bahrain

²Disease Control Section, Public Health Directorate, Ministry of Health, Manama, Kingdom of Bahrain

Article Info

Article history:

Received Feb 15, 2023 Revised Sep 29, 2023 Accepted Oct 8, 2023

Keywords:

Community health program Psychometrics Reliability Student satisfaction Validity

ABSTRACT

Changes in the learning modes during the recent COVID-19 pandemic has provided a need to construct a feedback questionnaire to measure medical students' satisfaction with community-based health programs. A total of 551 forms which has a 5-point Likert scale were submitted by the medical students upon concluding the public health program in 2018-2021 (which includes face-to-face and virtual learning). Reliability coefficients were estimated for each factor (domain) with values >0.70. Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was used to assess the validity of the instrument, using principal components analysis with varimax rotation. EFA identified two factors; the first included 6 items (67.031%) of the total variance, the second explained 4 items (10.114%) and together explained 77.15%. root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA): 0.074; normed fit index (NFI): 0.975; comparative fit index (CFI): 0.981; incremental fit index (IFI): 0.981; goodness of fit index (GFI): 0.956; Tucker Lewis index (TLI): 0.972. The overall students' satisfaction had a Cronbach's alpha test of 0.945 with a 95% confidence interval (0.938-0.952), and a reliability using Guttman Split-Half for overall was 0.894. Students' satisfaction questionnaire with the public health program has a good reliability and validity in contrasting educational situations and it is worthwhile to include as part of the quality improvement.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



Corresponding Author:

Salman Alzayani Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University Manama, P.O. Box 26671, Kingdom of Bahrain Email: salmanhz@agu.edu.bh

1. INTRODUCTION

The recent COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a global rapid and unforeseen changes in the modes of learning at health educational institutions where the teaching approaches have shifted back and forth from face-to-face to virtual learning strategies [1]–[4]. Students' satisfaction and expectations with their learning experiences are key indicators for quality improvement and the evaluation of any educational endeavor in higher educational institutions [5]. A need exists for a tool that could be employed to ensure the successful achievement of the learning goals in such diverse situations (face-to-face, virtual or hybrid), particularly in field-based community health activities [6], [7].

The College of Medicine and Medical Sciences (CMMS) at the Arabian Gulf University (AGU), Kingdom of Bahrain has compulsory community health activities as part of its medical curriculum [1]. Public health program is a fundamental component of these community-based activities [8]. Students' feedback data

has been continuously collected before the COVID-19 pandemic (face-to-face) as well as during the virtual transformation phase [1]. Thus, an opportunity has risen to develop and assess a valid and reliable instrument [9]–[13] that can be applied to measure students' satisfaction with public health activities in these contrasting learning environments.

This study aimed to examine the psychometric properties of a feedback questionnaire that was developed by CMMS-AGU seeking students' satisfaction towards the public health program. This community health field activity was conducted as real visits until the start of the COVID-19 pandemic where the activity has been transformed into virtual format. Accordingly, the public health program was changed from face-to-face into online [1]. It is hoped that this scientific effort will provide higher health educational institutions with a trustworthy tool that could be applied in diverse learning scenarios to measure students' satisfaction and expectations from community-based health programs.

2. METHOD

2.1. Study population

An online self-administered questionnaire (instrument) seeking feedback upon completing a community-based program in the period 2018-2021 (which includes face-to-face and virtual learning) was developed and assessed. The total collated data yielded a sample of 551 forms. The students' feedback questionnaire, comprising of 10 items. Researchers ensured that the instrument text was clear and reflect the students' satisfaction. Public health expert's opinion from within CMMS was sought about the items in the feedback forms as an indicator of face and content validity. Those questions were categorized into two domains, communicable diseases/food safety control and consumer products safety. The response options of the questionnaire items adopted a 5-point Likert scale.

2.2. Validity and reliability

Construct validity was evaluated using a maximum likelihood exploratory factor analysis and confirmatory factor analysis. For the verification of the reliability of the instrument, the internal consistency of the items is usually examined using Cronbach's alpha and split-half coefficients.

2.3. Statistical analysis

The mean and standard deviation were calculated for the continuous variables. Cronbach's Alpha and Guttman Split-Half coefficients were used to assess the internal consistency for the 10 items for the 551 participants. Reliability coefficients were estimated for each factor (domain) with values >0.70 (acceptable reliability). In addition, Pearson's correlation coefficient was used. The validity of the instrument was assessed by exploratory factor analysis (EFA), using principal components analysis with varimax rotation for factors that have eigen values above 1 [14]–[16].

Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) using structural equation modeling was employed to investigate construct validity. Several fit indices were selected to find out which CFA model best represents the current data set: Chi-square/degree of freedom (CMIN/DF), comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker Lewis index (TLI), Normed Fit Index (NFI), incremental fit index (IFI), goodness of fit index (GFI) and the root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA). The acceptable thresholds for the goodness of fit indices were based on the table published in [17]. A p-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The research and ethics committee of the CMMS at AGU (approval number: E43-PI-1-22, dated 27.1.2022).

3. RESULT

3.1. Reliability

3.1.1. Internal consistency

The Cronbach's alpha of overall student feedback was 0.945 with a 95% confidence interval (0.938-0.952). The alpha coefficient for the first and second domains were 0.931 and 0.926 respectively. The results related to the reliability using Guttman Split-Half showed that the reliability for overall was 0.894, and for the two domains were 0.916 and 0.850 respectively [18], [19].

3.2. Validity

3.2.1. EFA

Table 1 presents the correlation matrix between the items, Bartlett's sphericity and kaiser-meyerolkin (KMO) tests were used. The correlation matrix between the 10 items was acceptable (p-value <0.01). The KMO was 0.926. The EFA using principal components method for the 10 items of the student's is presented in Table 2. Two factors with eigenvalue greater than 1 were found. The numeric values of the two Eigen values 6.703 and 1.011 for the first and second factor respectively as presented in Figure 1. The component plot in rotated space as shown in Figure 2 showed the two factors, the first factor accounted for 67.031% of the total variance, and it included 6 items (items 5 to 10) with factor loadings >0.40. The second factor accounted for 10.114% of the variance, and it included 4 items (items 1 to 4). The combined factors explained 77.15% of the variance of medical student's satisfaction with the public health program.

Table 1. Correlation matrix between the items of the medical student's feedback about public health program

| | | | | | | | | | ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--------|-------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| Items | Item1 | Item2 | Item3 | Item4 | Item5 | Item6 | Item7 | Item8 | Item9 | Item10 |
| Item1 | 1 | 0.80^{a} | 0.78^{a} | 0.73 ^a | 0.57ª | 0.55ª | 0.55ª | 0.59ª | 0.59ª | 0.59 ^a |
| Item2 | | 1 | 0.77^{a} | 0.75 ^a | 0.56 ^a | 0.54 ^a | 0.54 ^a | 0.58 ^a | 0.61ª | 0.58 ^a |
| Item3 | | | 1 | 0.79 ^a | 0.54 ^a | 0.59ª | 0.55ª | 0.58 ^a | 0.60^{a} | 0.61 ^a |
| Item4 | | | | 1 | 0.53 ^a | 0.53ª | 0.57 ^a | 0.59ª | 0.60^{a} | 0.64 ^a |
| Item5 | | | | | 1 | 0.72 ^a | 0.81ª | 0.66 ^a | 0.62 ^a | 0.63 ^a |
| Item6 | | | | | | 1 | 0.73 ^a | 0.54 ^a | 0.59 ^a | 0.60^{a} |
| Item7 | | | | | | | 1 | 0.65ª | 0.64 ^a | 0.65 ^a |
| Item8 | | | | | | | | 1 | 0.77 ^a | 0.74 ^a |
| Item9 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0.77 ^a |
| Item10 | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

Note: ^a Indicates correlation statistically significant p<0.01

Table 2. EFA results for the 10 items of the instrument (n=551)

| Item # | Item description | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | | |
|------------------------------|---|----------|----------|--|--|
| 1 | Consumer products safety regulations & registration program | | 0.833 | | |
| 2 | Examine the safety and quality of products, monitor compliance to standards | | 0.848 | | |
| 3 | Control & supervise product consignments imported through ports | | 0.844 | | |
| 4 | Field inspection programs on premises related to consumer products | | 0.820 | | |
| 5 | Notification of diseases in Bahrain | 0.848 | | | |
| 6 | WHO surveillance guidelines | 0.761 | | | |
| 7 | Control of communicable diseases | 0.854 | | | |
| 8 | Food safety Rules and regulations | 0.733 | | | |
| 9 | Inspection of food premises | 0.718 | | | |
| 10 | Prevention and control of food borne diseases | 0.716 | | | |
| Eigen value | | 6.703 | 1.011 | | |
| Variance explained (%) | | | 10.114 | | |
| Total variance explained (%) | | | 77.15 | | |



Figure 1. Scree plot of EFA. The numeric values of the first two eigen values, with associated % variance explained in parentheses factor 1=6.703 (67.03%), factor 2=1.011 (10.114%)

3.2.2. CFA

CFA was used to investigate construct validity of 10 items (instrument) according to the fit indices. The results showed that the two factor (domain) structure of the 10 items (instrument) produced an acceptable fit index ($\chi 2 = 123.334$, DF=31, CMIN = 3.979) which was less than 5, (CFI)=0.981; (NFI)=0.975;

(IFI)=0.981; (GFI)=0.956; (TLI)=0.972 supported acceptable fit of the model. Further evidence of the model fit comes from the RMSEA=0.074, which was less than 0.08 (<8 considered good fit) show in Figure 3.



Figure 2. Component plot in rotated space with remaining 10 item indicating two factors



Figure 3. Confirmatory factor model for the two factors

4. **DISCUSSION**

The recent transformation of the learning modes due to the COVID-19 pandemic have attracted global attention since it has resulted in changes that are unlikely to revert to the pre COVID-19 era [20], [21]. This new reality necessitates the construction and maintenance of assessment techniques to ensure the continued effectiveness of the academic educational programs or activities [22], [23]. Published studies from academic programs such as engineering and hospitality have developed instruments that can effectively measure learners' satisfaction with field programs in diverse learning situations where programs may have been transformed from real to virtual visits and vice versa [24]–[27]. However, lack of such tools in the medical field, particularly in community health programs remains a challenge for health academic institutions as they thrive to ensure the continuity of educational packages.

Our study has assessed the psychometric properties of an instrument for measuring medical students' satisfaction towards a public health program among medical students at AGU, Kingdom of Bahrain. The validity and reliability of this instrument are good which makes it suitable for measuring the satisfaction of medical students with public health programs, even in situations of two or more diverse learning scenarios (face-to-face, virtual or hybrid).

Moreover, the results related to the CFA provided an acceptable fit to a two-factor model in the medical student's sample, the chi-square test of model fit ($\chi 2=123.334$, p>0.05). In addition, the EFA showed the presence of two factors with an eigen value greater than 1, explaining 77.15% of the variance of medical student's satisfaction about public health program. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient revealed that the reliability of the total items and two factors of field visits activity was 0.945, 0.931, and 0.926, respectively. Further, the internal consistency of the overall and two factors using the Guttman Split-Half were 0.894, 0.916 and 0.850 respectively, suggesting that the instrument has a good reliability [17].

It is widely acknowledged that students' educational achievements are closely linked to their method of learning [28], [29]. Senior students at graduate educational levels, just like those in junior levels of schooling are dazzled with their scores at the end of the program courses. It is not surprising then to find out that students corelate in their minds their academic educational achievements with their exam marks which in turn nessicitates that educational institutes should develop credible instruments to mesure academic performance. Further, this has major implications for institutional accreditation [30]. Within this context, we feel that this paper provides a useful instrument to ensure that learning environment changes from face-to-face to virtual and vice versa does not negatively affect students' satisfaction with community-based health programs.

5. CONCLUSION

Despite its application in contrasting educational situations (face-to-face and virtual), the feedback students' satisfaction questionnaire with the public health program has an acceptable reliability and validity. It would be worthwhile to consider including this research tool within the improvement packages in health sciences. This validated questionnaire would have positive implications in medical schools since it could be implemented in different educational situations to measure students' satisfaction with community-based health programs.

REFERENCES

- S. Alzayani, A. Alsayyad, K. Al-Roomi, and A. Almarabheh, "Innovations in Medical Education During the COVID-19 Era and Beyond: Medical Students' Perspectives on the Transformation of Real Public Health Visits Into Virtual Format," *Frontiers in Public Health*, vol. 10, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.883003.
- [2] N. M. Almusharraf and S. H. Khahro, "Students' Satisfaction with Online Learning Experiences during the COVID-19 Pandemic," *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, vol. 15, no. 21, pp. 246–267, 2020, doi: 10.3991/ijet.v15i21.15647.
- [3] D. Keržič et al., "Academic student satisfaction and perceived performance in the e-learning environment during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence across ten countries," PLOS ONE, vol. 16, no. 10, p. e0258807, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0258807.
- [4] E. Lengetti, M. A. Cantrell, N. DellaCroce, L. Diewald, J. L. Mensinger, and R. Shenkman, "Learning environment and evidence among professionals and students satisfaction (LEAPS), experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic," *Teaching and Learning in Nursing*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 342–346, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.teln.2021.07.004.
- [5] A. Kanwar and M. Sanjeeva, "Student satisfaction survey: a key for quality improvement in the higher education institution," *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1186/s13731-022-00196-6.
- [6] W. Elshami, M. H. Taha, M. Abuzaid, C. Saravanan, S. Al Kawas, and M. E. Abdalla, "Satisfaction with online learning in the new normal: perspective of students and faculty at medical and health sciences colleges," *Medical Education Online*, vol. 26, no. 1, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1080/10872981.2021.1920090.
- [7] C. Ebner and A. Gegenfurtner, "Learning and satisfaction in webinar, online, and face-to-face instruction: a meta-analysis," *Frontiers in Education*, vol. 4, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2019.00092.
- [8] S. Alzayani, K. Al-Roomi, A. Almarabheh, A. M Hamdi, A. Deifalla. "Public Health Career Perceptions and COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-sectional Study on Views of Graduates from a Community-oriented Medical School," *The Open Public Health Journal*, vol. 16, Aug. 2023, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18749445-v16-230821-2023-45.

- D. Goretzko, T. T. H. Pham, and M. Bühner, "Exploratory factor analysis: Current use, methodological developments and [9] recommendations for good practice," Current Psychology, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 3510–3521, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12144-019-00300-2.
- [10] K. A. Machleit, "Developing measures of latent constructs: A practical guide to psychometric theory," in Handbook of Research Methods in Consumer Psychology, Second edition. | New York, NY: Routledge, 2019.: Routledge, 2019, pp. 93-103. doi: 10.4324/9781351137713-5
- [11] A. C. de Souza, N. M. C. Alexandre, E. de B. Guirardello, A. C. de Souza, N. M. C. Alexandre, and E. de B. Guirardello, "Propriedades psicométricas na avaliação de instrumentos: avaliação da confiabilidade e da validade," Epidemiologia e Serviços de Saúde, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 649-659, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.5123/S1679-49742017000300022.
- [12] K. Mikkonen, M. Tomietto, and R. Watson, "Instrument development and psychometric testing in nursing education research," Nurse Education Today, vol. 119, pp. 1-6, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105603.
- [13] D. J. Hughes, "Psychometric validity: Establishing the accuracy and appropriateness of psychometric measures," in The Wiley Handbook of Psychometric Testing: A Multidisciplinary Reference on Survey, Scale and Test Development, vol. 2-2, D. J. H. Paul Irwing, Tom Booth, Ed. Wiley, 2017, pp. 751-779, doi: 10.1002/9781118489772.ch24.

S. B. Plichta and E. A. Kelvin, MUNRO'S Statistical Methods for Health Care Research. Belanda: Wolters Kluwer, 2021. [14]

- S. S. Mokhlesi, N. Kariman, A. Ebadi, F. Khoshnejad, and F. Dabiri, "Psychometric properties of the questionnaire for urinary [15] incontinence diagnosis of married women of Qom city in 2015," Journal of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 955-966, 2017.
- H. S. Nia, A. Ebadi, R. H. Lehto, B. Mousavi, H. Peyrovi, and Y. H. Chan, "Reliability and validity of the persian version of [16] templer death anxiety scale-extended in veterans of Iran-Iraq warfare," Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 29-37, 2014.
- [17] S. H. S. Nia, S. P. Sharif, A. H. Goudarzian, A. A. Haghdoost, A. Ebadi, and M. A. Soleimani, "An evaluation of psychometric properties of the templer's death anxiety scale-extended among a sample of Iranian chemical warfare veterans," Hayat, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 229-244, 2016.
- [18] N. Shrestha, "Factor analysis as a tool for survey analysis," American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 4-11, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.12691/ajams-9-1-2.
- R. F. DeVellis and C. T. Thorpe, Scale Development: Theory and Applications, vol. 21, no. 6. United Kingdom: Sage [19] publications, 2021, doi: 10.2307/2075704.
- Y. Zhao and J. Watterston, "The changes we need: Education post COVID-19," Journal of Educational Change, vol. 22, no. 1, [20] pp. 3–12, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10833-021-09417-3.
 [21] T. Karakose, "The impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on higher education: Opportunities and implications for policy and
- practice," Educational Process International Journal, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 7-12, 2021, doi: 10.22521/edupij.2021.101.1.
- [22] S. Asri, L. D. Apristia, H. Hidayat, A. Setiyawan, A. B. Anggoro, and T. Meilinda, "Analysis of Students' Satisfaction Levels in Hybrid Learning," 202AD, doi: 10.2991/978-2-494069-47-3 43.
- [23] T. Muniandy and N. Abdullah, "A comprehensive review: an innovative pedagogy for future education," International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2023, doi: 10.4018/IJOPCD.315816.
- [24] S. M. E. Sepasgozar, "Digital twin and web-based virtual gaming technologies for online education: a case of construction management and engineering," Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 13, p. 4678, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.3390/app10134678.
- A. Patiar, E. Ma, S. Kensbock, and R. Cox, "Students' perceptions of quality and satisfaction with virtual field trips of hotels," [25]Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, vol. 31, pp. 134-141, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jhtm.2016.11.003.
- M. Charytanowicz, "Online education vs traditional education: analysis of student performance in computer science using shapley [26] additive explanations," Informatics in Education, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 351–368, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.15388/infedu.2023.23.
- [27] R. Valieiev, Y. Pokaichuk, A. Zhbanchyk, V. Polyvaniuk, O. Nykyforova, and K. Nedria, "In the search for the golden mean: students' satisfaction with face-to-face, blended and distance learning," Revista Romaneasca pentru Educatie Multidimensionala, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 20-40, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.18662/rrem/13.1/357.
- [28] M. Muzammil, A. Sutawijaya, and M. Harsasi, "investigating student satisfaction in online learning: the role of student interaction and engagement in distance learning university," Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, vol. 21, no. Special Issue-IODL, pp. 88-96, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.17718/tojde.770928.
- Y. B. Rajabalee and M. I. Santally, "Learner satisfaction, engagement and performances in an online module: Implications for [29] institutional e-learning policy," Education and Information Technologies, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 2623-2656, May 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10639-020-10375-1.
- G. Choa, Z. Arfeen, S. C. C. Chan, and M. A. Rashid, "Understanding impacts of accreditation on medical teachers and students: [30] A systematic review and meta-ethnography," Medical Teacher, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 63-70, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1080/0142159X.2021.1965976.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Salman Alzavani 💿 😵 🖾 🗘 is an Assistant Professor of Public Health in the College of Medicine and Medical Sciences at the Arabian Gulf University in Bahrain, his expertise ranges from clinical, academic, administrative and scientific research in the fields of public health, community medicine, health policy and population studies. Dr. Alzayani holds an MD degree (2003) and MSc (2010) in Health Policy from the Arabian Gulf University. He got his Ph.D. in Public Health (2015) from the University of Connecticut in the United States and received his executive education in Healthcare Leadership from Harvard School of Public Health and another executive education in negotiation from Harvard Kennedy School of Governments. Moreover, he holds graduate certificates in Health Promotion, Health Education and College Instruction from University of Connecticut in the United States. Dr. Alzayani is a recipient of Venus International Medical Award for Outstanding Clinician in Community Medicine (2018). He can be contacted at email: salmanhz@agu.edu.bh.

D 863



Amer Almarabheh 💿 🔀 🖾 🌣 is an Assistant Professor in Measurement and Statistics, Arabian Gulf University/College of Medicine and Medical Science. Lecturer & Statistics Specialist, Arabian Gulf University/College of Medicine, Specialist of Math. Curriculum, M.E.O Directorate of curricula, Ministry of Education, Kingdom of Bahrain, Sep.2006- Feb. 2011. Research interests: psychometric properties, item response theory, classical test theory, structural equation modelling, differential item functioning, data management and data analysis. Memberships: Psychological Assessment Resource (PAR), Florida State, USA, American Educational Research Association (AERA), Washington, USA. He can be contacted at email: amerjka@agu.edu.bh.



Khaldoon Al-Roomi B S is a specialist Family Physician, an Associate Professor in Family and Community Medicine and a former Dean at the College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University, kingdom of Bahrain. After his medical graduation (MD, Excellent Grade) from the College of Medicine and Allied Sciences, King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, he pursued his post graduate training from the United Kingdom (University of Dundee, MSc) and Australia (University of Newcastle, PhD). He has published around 40 papers in peer reviewed journals. His research interests are epidemiology of non-communicable diseases, evidence-based medicine, randomized clinical trails and medical education. He can be contacted at email: alroomi@agu.edu.bh.



Adel Alsayyad **b** S **s** is a consultant in public health, family medicine and epidemiology in ministry of Health-Bahrain (MOH) (since 2006). Chief of Disease Control Section in MOH (since 2010). Leading the case investigation and contact tracing team for COVID 19 in MOH. Part time Associate Professor of family and community medicine in Arabian Gulf University (AGU). Part time tutor in Family Medicine program in MOH. Qualifications: Bachelor in medical sciences in 1994 (Arabian Gulf University), MD in 1995 (Arabian Gulf University), Arab Board in family medicine (2000), Diploma and Master degree in Epidemiology from London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) (2005). More than 50 published articles related to primary care, communicable diseases, non-communicable diseases, health system research, public health and epidemiology. Awards: Prince Salman Bin Hamad Medal for Medical Merit (2021). He can be contacted at email: asayyad@health.gov.bh.