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ABSTRACT

Anemia is a global public health problem in both developing and developed countries, especially among pregnant women. The aim of the study was to estimate the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women in Sana’a governorate, Yemen, and to identify the determinants contributing to it, and to assess knowledge regarding it. The study was conducted using a cross-sectional approach. It included 360 pregnant women attending the maternity clinics of maternity hospitals. A predesigned structured interviewing questionnaire was used to collect data from the pregnant women. Laboratory investigations were done. The prevalence of anemia was found to be 40.3%, and was higher among females aged 35 to less than 45 years, those with poor income and poor nutritional level. The risk of anemia increased with the gestational age, gravidity, decreased birth spacing, drinking tea and coffee after meals, decreased intake of proteins and low level of knowledge and income. The binary logistic regression model indicated a significant impact of the education and occupation on the level of knowledge of pregnant women. Anemia was found out to be a severe public health problem among pregnant women in Yemen. Identified risk factors should be considered for its prevention and control.

1. INTRODUCTION

Anemia is a global public health problem affecting both developing and developed countries with major consequences for human health as well as social and economic development. It occurs in all stages of life but it is more prevalent in pregnant women and children [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) considered anemia to be one of the ten most important factors contributing to the global burden of diseases [2]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), estimated the worldwide prevalence of anemia among pregnant women to be 52% in 2007. The majority of these women were living in developing countries [3]. Globally, according to the WHO, in 2014, the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women aged 15-49 years fell by 12% between 1995 and 2011 from 43% to 38% [4].

Anemia in pregnancy is defined as a decrease in the concentration of circulating red blood cells or in the hemoglobin concentration (hemoglobin levels of below 11 g/dl) and a concomitant impaired capacity to transport oxygen [2]. Anemia is a multi-factorial disorder. At least half of the global burden of anemia in pregnancy is due to iron deficiency. Other contributing factors include nutritional deficiencies of folate, vitamin B12 and vitamin A, chronic inflammation, parasitic infections and inherited disorders [5]. Anemia in
pregnancy is also affected by socioeconomic conditions, lifestyles and health-seeking behaviors across different cultures [2].

Studies have shown that anemia in pregnancy has adverse consequences that may not only affect the pregnant but also affects the neonate and infant [6]. During pregnancy, anemia is associated with multiple adverse outcomes for both mother and infant, including an increased risk of hemorrhage, sepsis, maternal mortality, perinatal mortality, low birth weight [7] and reduced work capacity [8]. Forty percent of all perinatal deaths are linked to anemia [7]. There is usually a 2 to 3 fold increase in perinatal mortality rate when maternal hemoglobin levels fall below 8.0 g/dl and 8-10 folds increase when maternal hemoglobin levels fall below 5.0 g/dl [9].

The management of anemia in a pregnant woman depends on the duration of pregnancy, severity of the anemia and complications [10]. The WHO reported that a combined treatment with iron and vitamin A could have a greater impact on anemia treatment especially during the second trimester of pregnancy [11]. Interventions to control anemia in pregnancy include iron supplementation and iron fortification, dietary modification, nutritional and health education, control of parasitic infections, and improvement of sanitation [5],[12],[13].

In Yemen, anemia is one of the serious health problems among pregnant women. In 2011, the World Bank estimated the prevalence of anemia in Yemen to be 36% among pregnant women [14]. Therefore, the assessment of the extent of the problem and the factors responsible for it is needed. The present study aimed to estimate the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women in Sana’a governorate, Yemen, to identify the determinants contributing to it, and to assess knowledge regarding it.

2. METHOD

The study was carried out among pregnant women attending the outpatient clinics of four governmental hospitals in Sana’a governorate (Alsha’aen Hospital, Alkuwait University Hospital, Althawra General Hospital and Aljumhory Hospital) using a cross sectional design. The sample size was calculated using Stats Direct 3.0.135, 2015. Based on prevalence of anemia of 36% among pregnant women, the minimum required sample size was calculated to be 360 pregnant women at 95% confidence interval, and 5% acceptable absolute deviation of the sample from the population rate.

A pre-designed structured interviewing questionnaire was prepared by the researchers to collect data from pregnant women about their sociodemographic characteristics including age, educational level, occupation, income level, and residence. Obstetric and gynecological history was obtained (including trimester of pregnancy, gravidity, previous births, and history of abortion). Medical history (chronic and current diseases), dietary habits (use of vitamin and mineral supplements, consumption of enhancers and inhibitors of iron absorption were obtained. The questionnaire also included knowledge about signs, symptoms, complications and risk factors of anemia, iron rich foods and enhancers and inhibitors of iron absorption. Laboratory investigations were done to assess the level of hemoglobin, hematocrit (Hct), packed cell volume (PCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) and volume of the red blood cell.

\[
\text{MCHC} = \frac{\text{Hb} \ (\text{g/dl})}{\text{Hct} \ (%) \times 100}
\]

The collected data were revised, coded, and analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS version 21). Graphical presentations were done for visualization using Microsoft Excel. Mean (X) with standard deviation (SD) were calculated to describe normally distributed scale data. Count and percentage were used describing and summarizing qualitative data. A special scoring system was prepared for assessment of dietary intake and knowledge. Chi-square (X²) was used for analysis of some categorical data. Odds ratio was used to assess the risk of a particular outcome (or disease) if a certain factor (or exposure) is present. Logistic regression was used to predict a dependent variable based on continuous and/or categorical independents and to determine the effect size of the independent variables on the dependent; to rank the relative importance of independents and to understand the impact of covariate control variables. The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was also used to study the diagnostic performance of HB for diagnosis of anemia among pregnant females and discriminate anemic cases from normal cases.

The researcher sought the approval of the Ethics Committee of the High Institute of Public Health for conducting the research and complied with the international guidelines for research ethics. An informed written consent was taken from the study participants after explanation of the purpose and benefits of the research. Anonymity and confidentiality were assured and maintained. There was no conflict of interest.
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3. RESULTS

The total number of pregnant women included in the study was 360 women. Their sociodemographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. The age of the pregnant women ranged between 15 and 45 years. The mean age was 26.55 years ± 5.713 SD. Most pregnant women (89.4%) were from urban areas. More than three quarters of pregnant women (76.4%) were housewives. Only 19.6% were students. 2.8% were employees and free workers and professionals constituted 0.6%. Only 12.2% of pregnant women were illiterate. Those with primary education constituted 26.4%. Nearly half (48.6%) of the study population had secondary education, while 12.8% had university and post graduate education. Pregnant women who had enough income constituted 70.5%, compared to 21.7% who did not have enough income and borrow. Only 7.8% had enough income and saved.

Table 1. Distribution of Pregnant Women According to Socio-demographic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociodemographic data (n=360)</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 -</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 -</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ±SD= 26.55 ±5.7 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewives</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free workers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionals</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University and post graduate</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enough and save</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough and borrow</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study revealed that the overall prevalence of anemia among the study population was 40.3%, where 16.6% of anemic pregnant women had mild anemia, 23.1% had moderate anemia, and only 0.6% of them had severe anemia as shown in Figure 1. The mean Hb level among pregnant women was 11.4± 1.8 g/dl. It ranged between 4 and 17 g/dl.

Table 2 shows that the highest prevalence of anemia (48.8%) was found among pregnant women aged 35- 45 years, followed by those aged 25 to less than 35 years (42.6%). The difference between various age groups in relation to anemia was not significant (p = 0.190). The prevalence of anemia increased with the decrease in the level of income. The highest prevalence of anemia (49.9%) was among pregnant women who had poor income. The relation between income and anemia was statistically significant (p = 0.036). The prevalence of anemia increased with the increase in gestational age. It was 29.2% among women in the first trimester.
trimester and increased to 42.5% and 48.7% among those in second and third trimester respectively. This increase is statistically significant ($x^2 = 9.356, p = 0.009$).

Table 2. Comparison Between Anemic and Non-anemic Pregnant Women in Relation to Sociodemographic Characteristics and Gynecological History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociodemographic characteristics and gynecologic history</th>
<th>Anemic n=145</th>
<th>Not anemic n=215</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 -</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.317</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 -</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enough and save</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough and borrow</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period of the current pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First trimester</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second trimester</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>9.356</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current pregnancy order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8.573</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing between pregnancies (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.629</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the relationship between current pregnancy order and anemia, the highest prevalence of anemia (48.5%) was among pregnant women whose pregnancy was the third and more. ($cOR = 0.518 (0.338, 0.793), x^2MH = 8.573, p = 0.003$). The prevalence of anemia decreased with the increase in the interval between pregnancies: The highest prevalence of anemia was among pregnant women who have had spacing between pregnancies one year and below ($x^2 = 10.629, p = 0.014$).

Logistic regression analysis shows that pregnant women who ate liver weekly, those who drank milk one to six days per week and those who were in the third and second trimesters of pregnancy had a significant impact on occurrence of anemia than the relevant categories (Table 3).

Table 3. Logistic Regression Analysis of Anemia Among Pregnant Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% C.I. for EXP(B) Lower</th>
<th>95% C.I. for EXP(B) Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interval between pregnancies</td>
<td>.104</td>
<td>.416</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>.864</td>
<td>1.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver eating</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>2.945</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>6.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk drinking</td>
<td>-1.260</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>.168</td>
<td>.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of drinking tea</td>
<td>.106</td>
<td>.690</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>.659</td>
<td>1.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current pregnancy</td>
<td>-.549-</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.578</td>
<td>.326</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of cola drinking</td>
<td>-.628-</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>.333</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>1.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of coffee drinking</td>
<td>.310</td>
<td>.480</td>
<td>1.364</td>
<td>.577</td>
<td>3.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current pregnancy trimester</td>
<td>-.673-</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.510</td>
<td>.371</td>
<td>.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>4.361</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>78.563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the knowledge of pregnant women about anemia, it appears from Figure 2 that the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women was highest among those who had poor level of knowledge constituted (63.2%) compared to those who had good and fair level of knowledge (Figure 2).
The difference was statistically significant (p = 0.012). Logistic regression analysis of the factors affecting knowledge showed that the literate and working pregnant women had a significant good knowledge score than the relevant categories (Table 4).

Table 4. Logistic Regression Analysis of the Factors Affecting Knowledge Among Pregnant Women (Sana’a, Yemen)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% C.I. for EXP(B) Lower</th>
<th>95% C.I. for EXP(B) Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>.287</td>
<td>.285</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>.788</td>
<td>2.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1.645</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>5.181</td>
<td>2.339</td>
<td>11.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>-.264</td>
<td>.346</td>
<td>.768</td>
<td>.443</td>
<td>1.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>-.219</td>
<td>.010*</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>.680</td>
<td>.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>-.545</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.580</td>
<td>.281</td>
<td>1.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-.450</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>.234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accuracy of the model was 64.4%.
*Significant (p< 0.05)

Figure 2. Relationship between level of knowledge and anemia

Figure 3. ROC curve analysis of probability of no anemia calculated from binary logistic model
Figures 3 shows that the Area under the ROC curve (AUC) of Hb as a predictor of anemia among pregnant women in Sana’a governorate in Yemen. The area under the ROC curve was significantly different from 0.5 (null hypothesis area) and that therefore there is evidence that Hb has an ability to distinguish between the two positive and negative groups (P (Area=0.5 was<0.000).

4. DISCUSSION

The overall prevalence of anemia in the present study was 40.3% among pregnant women attending maternal outpatient clinics of the selected hospitals. This prevalence is consistent with the global study results (41.8% and 38%) reported from WHO in 2008 [1] and 2014 [4] respectively. Also, the prevalence estimated in the current study is in accordance with findings of a survey conducted in Yemen by the World Bank in 2011 which found that 36% of pregnant women were anemic [14]. Other studies done in 2011 by the World Bank estimated the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women to be 40% in Saudi Arabia, 38% in Bolivia, 39% in Maldives and 44% in Afghanistan [14]. Many studies done in different countries around the world showed that the prevalence of anemia was 41.4% in Jordan (2012) [15] 41.6% in Turkey (2015) [16] and 39.9% in Southern Ethiopia (2015) [17].

The prevalence of anemia in the current study is lower than that reported in the WHO global database on anemia between 1993 and 2005 in Yemen which was 58.1% among pregnant women [1]. This might be attributed to improvement of health care services and socioeconomic state. It is lower than findings of some developing countries which were reported by the World Bank in 2011, (Nigeria 58%, India 54% and Pakistan 51%) [14]. The prevalence of anemia in the current study was higher than that reported by the World Bank in 2011, in gulf and Arabic countries. It was 25% in Kuwait, 26% in United Arab Emirates, 27% in Lebanon, 27% in Jordan, 28% in Qatar, 31% in Iraq, 34% in Oman and 30% in Egypt [14]. It is much higher than some developed countries as reported by the World Bank in 2011, such as 17% in United States, 23% in United Kingdom and 27% in Japan [14].

Anemia in pregnancy is related to different socio-demographic and behavioral factors [17]. The causes of anemia are multi-factorial, including gestational factors and diet, infection and genetics [14]. The current study revealed an association between prevalence of anemia and many factors as gestational age, gravidity, child spacing, milk drinking, time of tea drinking, time of coffee drinking, time of cola drinking, chicken eating, liver eating and level of knowledge. The relative contribution of each factor to anemia during pregnancy varies greatly by geographical location, season, and dietary practice [18]. In the current study, the prevalence of anemia increased with the decrease in the level of income. The highest prevalence of anemia (49.9%) was among pregnant women who had poor income. Studies conducted in Turkey (2010 and 2015) [9],[16], Azad Kashmir, Pakistan (2012) [19], Ethiopia (2013 and 2016) [20],[21], were consistent with the current study and found a statistically significant association between the level of income and anemia in pregnancy.

In the present study, the risk of developing anemia increased with the advance in pregnancy. The risk of developing anemia among pregnant females was higher in third and second trimester when compared with those in the first trimester. This finding is consistent with the study conducted among pregnant females attending the primary health care centers in Makkah, Saudi Arabia (2012) [22]. Additionally, studies conducted in Turkey (2010 and 2015) [9],[16], Pakistan (2013) [23], Ethiopia (2015) [17], found that the increase in gestational age is significantly associated with the risk of developing anemia. This could be due to the fact that when the gestational age increases the mother becomes weak and the iron in the blood is shared with the fetus in the womb therefore decreasing the iron binding capacity of the mother’s blood [18].

In the present study, multigravidity was significantly associated with anemia (p= 0.003). The risk of developing anemia in pregnant women who had three and more pregnancies is increased when compared with those who had less than 3 pregnancies. This finding is consistent with studies conducted in Saudi Arabia (2012) [22] and Eastern Ethiopia (2014) [18]. This could be attributed to loss of iron and other nutrients as result of repeated pregnancies [18]. Higher gravidity was documented in a number of studies as a cause of anemia in pregnancy, as studies done in Jordan (2012) [15], Malaysia (2012) [24], Pakistan (2013) [23] and Ethiopia (2015) [17]. This is because of reducing maternal iron reserves at every pregnancy and blood loss at each delivery [22].

Child spacing was also found to be significantly associated with the occurrence of anemia in the current study (p= 0.014). Other studies done in Saudi Arabia (2012) [22], and Ethiopia (2012) [25], revealed similar findings. It could be noticed that the prevalence of anemia decreased with the increase in spacing between pregnancies. These findings are consistent with the results of the current study and could be explained by the fact that short intervals between births may not provide women with enough time to replenish lost nutrient stores before another reproductive cycle begins. Another explanation is that child spacing minimizes bleeding during delivery and enhances iron reserve in the body [25]. Results of the
present study showed that awareness had a very significant effect on the occurrence of anemia among pregnant women. Anemic pregnant women who had poor level of knowledge constituted 63.2%. The prevalence of anemia among pregnant women increased with the decrease in knowledge level. This finding is consistent with study done in Sudan (2014) [26].

In the current study, logistic regression analysis of the factors affecting knowledge showed that education and occupation (literacy and work) were significantly associated with knowledge of the pregnant women. This finding is consistent with findings of previous studies done in India (2014) [27]. Results of the present study showed that the area under the ROC curve of Hb as a predictor of anemia among pregnant women was the highest of all various red cell indices. Other studies were in agreement and found that the ROC for Hb give the maximum area under its curve and can predict iron deficiency anemia in the second and third trimester with a high sensitivity and specificity [28],[29].

5. CONCLUSION
Anemia is a global public health problem affecting both developing and developed countries with major consequences for human health as well as social and economic development. Anemia was found out to be a severe public health problem in Yemen. Identified risk factors should be considered for prevention and control of anemia among pregnant women.
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